United States Patent and Trademark Office

SM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			***.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
09/704,299	11/01/2000		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
		John R. Bianchi	RTI-106	2390
7590 10/04/2004				
DONALD J. Po	OCHOPIEN		EXAMINER PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
500 WEST MAI	DISON STREET			
34 FLOOR			ART UNIT.	DADED ME
CHICAGO, IL	60661			PAPER NUMBER
		•	3732	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	J.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,		09/704,299	BIANCHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
]		Pedro Philogene					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the second	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
	Status						
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2004. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	Disposition of Claims	r parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
	4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-14,16-20,22,23,25 and 26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-14,16-20,22,23,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
A	Application Papers	1					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examinor						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)						
	replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to 0.000 and 0.000 are						
P	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) [2) [3) [Achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date atent and Trademark Office -326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action	4) Interview Summary (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pater 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/704,299

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In claim 19, line 2, applicant positively recites part of a human, i.e. "said cortical bone is human". Thus claim 19 includes a human within its scope and are non-statutory. A claim directed to or including within its scope a human is not considered to be patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14, 16-20, 21-23,25,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. (6,200,347) in view of McKay (6,261,586).

With respect to claims 11, 20, Anderson et al discloses an assembled implant, as best seen in the figures, for implantation between adjacent vertebrae in the spine of a patient comprising two or more sections of cortical bone; as best seen in FIGS.1-10, that are joined together in tadem by a pin (7,19,31) interconnecting the section to form an elongated body having first end for initially engaging vertebrae and a second end for

Application/Control Number: 09/704,299

Art Unit: 3732

engaging a driving and securing device, wherein the elongated body comprises a continuously tapered surface from about 5mm to about 25 mm in length; as set forth in column 23, lines 1-67, column 31, lines 1-22 and as best seen in the figures.

Although Anderson et al taught of serrations and saw-tooth pattern as texture on the implant; it is noted that Anderson did not teach of threads on the surface of the implant, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, McKay evidences the use of threads on the surface of the implant to secure the implant in the vertebrae.

Therefore, given the teaching of McKay, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the serrations or saw-tooth pattern of Anderson et al. with the threads of McKay to secure the implant in the vertebrae.

With respect to claims 12-14, 16-16,22,25,26, The above combination of references teaches all the limitations, as set forth in column 23, lines 1-67, column 31, lines 1-22 and as best seen in the figures of Anderson et al., and as best seen in the figures of McKay.

With respect to claim 23, the method steps, as set forth, would have been obviously carried out in the operation of the device, as set forth above.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/704,299

Art Unit: 3732

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene September 29, 2004

> PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER